

Intuitionistic Fuzzy Local Function In Topology

P.Thirunavukarasu¹, R Revathy²

¹Assistant Professor, PG & Research Department of Mathematics
(Affiliated to Bharathidasan University)

Periyar E.V.R. College, Thiruchirapalli, Tamil Nadu, India

²Part Time Research Scholar, PG & Research Department of Mathematics
Periyar E.V.R. College, Thiruchirapalli, Tamil Nadu, India
(Affiliated to Bharathidasan University)

e-mail :¹ ptavinash1967@gmail.com, ²rrevathy085@gmail.com

Abstract: *In this paper we discuss the basic definitions of intuitionistic fuzzy set and intuitionistic fuzzy topology. Closure of a Intuitionistic fuzzy sets in a Intuitionistic fuzzy topological space are defined and some of its basic observations are given.*

Keywords: *Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Intuitionistic fuzzy topology, Intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces*

1. INTRODUCTION

The application of the set theory had their own limitations. It is with this point of view as the background, Zadeh[6] in 1965 enunciated a new branch of study known as fuzzy mathematics. He defined in his preliminary work [7], the concept of fuzzy sets as a function from an ordinary set X into the closed interval $[0,1]$.

According to George J. Klir an important point in the evaluation of the modern concept of uncertainty was the publication of the paper by Zadeh. In his paper, L.A. Zadeh introduced a theory whose objects-fuzzy sets- are sets with boundaries that are not precise. The membership in a fuzzy is not just a matter of affirmation or denial, but rather a matter of a degree.

After the introduction of the concept of fuzzy sets by Zadeh[6], in 1968, Chang[2] became the pioneer to present the idea of fuzzy topology. Fundamentally, he replaced the classical notion of open sets by a notion called fuzzy open sets.

However, later in 1976, Lowen found that many of the well known results in general topology cannot be obtained if one follows Chang's definition fuzzy topology. So, he redefined fuzzy topology by including constant fuzzy sets in it. This implies that in Chang's definition of fuzzy topology one more axiom was included. It should be mentioned that those who follow Chang's definition of fuzzy topology, call Lowen's definition of it as "fully stratified" fuzzy topology.

In 1985, Sostak[4] independently studies I-fuzzy topology, where I is the usual unit interval. In 1991, Ying introduced the concept of fuzzifying topology.

As a generalization of fuzzy sets, the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets was first introduced by Atanassov [1] in 1986. Coker introduced intuitionistic fuzzy topology. In fact Coker constructed the fundamental theory on intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces (IFTSs).

Coker and others studied compactness, connectedness, continuity, separation, convergence and paracompactness in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. In the year 2000, G.J. Wang and Y.Y. He [5] established that every intuitionistic fuzzy set may be regarded as an L-fuzzy set for some appropriate lattice L.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 1 [3]: Let X be a non-empty fixed set. An intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS for short) A is an object having the form $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)) : x \in X\}$ where the functions $\mu_A: X \rightarrow I$ and $\nu_A: X \rightarrow I$ denote the degree of membership (namely $\mu_A(x)$) and the degree of non-membership (namely $\nu_A(x)$) of each element $x \in X$ to the set A , respectively, and $0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \leq 1$, for each $x \in X$.

Definition 2 [3]: Let X be a non empty set, and the IFSs A and B be in the form

$A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x)) : x \in X\}$ and $B = \{(x, \mu_B(x), \gamma_B(x)) : x \in X\}$

1. $A \subseteq B$ iff $\mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x)$ and $\gamma_A(x) \geq \gamma_B(x)$ for all $x \in X$.
2. $\overline{A} = B$ iff $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq A$.
3. $A \cap B = \{(x, \mu_A(x) \cap \mu_B(x), \gamma_A(x) \cup \gamma_B(x)) : x \in X\}$
4. $A \cup B = \{(x, \mu_A(x) \cup \mu_B(x), \gamma_A(x) \cap \gamma_B(x)) : x \in X\}$
5. $[]A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), 1 - \mu_A(x)) : x \in X\}$
6. $()A = \{(x, 1 - \gamma_A(x), \gamma_A(x)) : x \in X\}$

Definition 3 [3]:

Let $\{A_\alpha / \alpha \in J\}$ be a family of IFSs in X .

Then $\bigwedge A_\alpha = (\bigwedge \mu_{A_\alpha}, \bigvee \nu_{A_\alpha})$, and $\bigvee A_\alpha = (\bigvee \mu_{A_\alpha}, \bigwedge \nu_{A_\alpha})$.

Definition 4: For every $(a, b) \in \Delta$, $C_{a,b}$ denotes the IFS defined by $C_{a,b}(x) = (a, b)$, for all $x \in X$.

Note that $C_{1,0} = \mathbf{1}_\sim$ and $C_{0,1} = \mathbf{0}_\sim$.

Definition 5 [3]

Let X be a non empty set and τ be a family of IFSs in X satisfying the following axioms:

- (T1) $\mathbf{0}_\sim, \mathbf{1}_\sim \in \tau$
- (T2) $f, g \in \tau \Rightarrow f \wedge g \in \tau$
- (T3) If $\{f_\alpha : \alpha \in J\}$ is a subfamily of τ , then $\bigvee f_\alpha \in \tau$.

The pair (X, τ) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space (IFTS) and iff $\tau \in \tau$, then f

is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy open set (IFOS) in X , and f^c is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy closed set (IFCS) in the IFT space (X, τ) .

Results1[3]: If (X, τ_0) is a fuzzy topological space in the sense of Chang, then (X, τ_0) produces the following IFTS.

$$\tau = \{A = (\mu_A, 1 - \mu_A) \in S^X / A = \mu_A \in \tau_0\}.$$

Results 2 : Let (X, τ) be an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space. If $A \in \text{IFS}(X)$, we denote A by $A = (\mu_A, \gamma_A)$. Then

(i) $\tau_1 = \{\mu_A / A \in \tau\}$

(ii) $\tau_2 = \{1 - \gamma_A / A \in \tau\}$

Definition 6

Let (X, τ) be an IFTS and $A \in \text{IFS}(X)$. Then the intuitionistic fuzzy interior, (in short, IFI), $\text{int} A$ and the intuitionistic fuzzy closure (IFC) $\text{cl}A$ of A are defined by:

$$\text{int} A = \bigvee \{G \in \tau / G \leq A\}$$

$$\text{cl} A = \bigwedge \{F / F^c \in \tau \text{ and } A \leq F\}$$

Remarks1:

1. $\text{cl}(A)$ is an IFCS and $\text{int} A$ is an IFOS in (X, τ)
2. $\text{cl}A$ is the smallest IFCS containing A and $\text{int}A$ is the largest IFOS contained in A .
3. for all $A \in \text{IFS}(X)$, $\text{cl}(A^c) = (\text{int}A)^c$ and $\text{int}(A^c) = (\text{cl}A)^c$.
4. A is an IFCS in X , if and only if $\text{cl}A = A$.
5. A is an IFOS in X if and only if $\text{int}(A) = A$.

Definition 7: Let X be a non - empty set and let $\tau \subset S^X$. Then τ is called an intuitionistic fuzzy topology (IFT) on X , if it satisfies the following axioms:

(T 1) $0_{\sim}, 1_{\sim} \in \tau$.

(T 2) $G_1, G_2 \in \tau \Rightarrow G_1 \wedge G_2 \in \tau$.

(T 3) for every family $\{G_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$ of members of τ , $\bigvee_\alpha G_\alpha \in \tau$.

The pair (X, τ) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space (IFTS).

Each member of τ is called an intuitionistic fuzzy open set (IFOS) in X .

$A \in \mathbf{I}^X$ is called an intuitionistic fuzzy closed set (IFCS) in X , if $A^c \in \tau$.

Definition 8 (IFTS in the sense of Lowen): Let (X, τ) be an IFTS. Then (X, τ) is called an IFTS in the sense of Lowen iff for every $(a, b) \in \Delta$, the IFSC $C_{a,b} \in \tau$, where $C_{a,b}$ is defined by $\mu_{C_{a,b}}(x) = a$ and $\gamma_{C_{a,b}}(x) = b$, for all $x \in X$.

Closure of an IFS in an IFTS

D. Coker (1997) introduced the concept of closure and interior of an IFS and IFTS.

Let (X, τ) be an IFTS. If $A \in \mathbf{I}^X$, then the closure \bar{A} and the interior A° of A in (X, τ) are defined as follows:

$$\bar{A} = \bigwedge \{K / K^c \in \tau \text{ and } A \leq K\} \text{ and}$$

$$A^\circ = \bigvee \{G / G \in \tau \text{ and } G \leq A\}.$$

Result 3 : $\bar{A} = \bigwedge \{G^c / A \leq G^c \text{ and } G \in \tau\} = \bigwedge \{G^c / G \in \tau \text{ and } A \cap G \in 0_{\sim}\}$

Remark 2: If (X, σ) is a crisp topology on X and A is a crisp subset of X , then the closure \bar{A} of A in (X, σ) is also defined as

$$\bar{A} = \{x \in X / G \in \tau \text{ and } x \in G \Rightarrow G \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$$

Definition 9 : Let (X, τ) be an IFTS and $A \in \mathfrak{F}^X$. Then

$A^* \in \mathfrak{F}^X$ is defined for each $x \in X$, $A^*(x) = \vee \{(a,b) \in \Delta / G \in \tau \text{ and } (a,b) \leq G^c(x) \Rightarrow A \wedge G \in 0^{\sim}\}$.

The following observations are made

1. Let $\wedge_x = \{(a,b) \in \Delta / G \in \tau \text{ and } (a,b) \leq G^c(x) \Rightarrow A \wedge G \in 0^{\sim}\}$.

Then $A^*(x) = (\mu, \lambda) = \vee \{(a,b) / (a,b) \in \wedge_x\}$.

Let $G \in \tau$ and $(a,b) \leq G^c(x)$.

Then either $\mu > \gamma_G(x)$ or $\lambda < \mu_G(x)$.

Find $(a,b) \in \wedge_x$ such that either $\mu \geq a > \gamma_G(x)$ or $\lambda \geq b > \mu_G(x)$.

Then $(a,b) \leq G^c(x)$. As $(a,b) \in \wedge_x$, we get $A \wedge G \in 0^{\sim}$.

Hence $G \in \tau$, and $A^*(x) \leq G^c(x) \Rightarrow A \wedge G \in 0^{\sim}$. So $A^*(x) \in \wedge_x$.

2. $A^* = \text{cl}(A^*)$. Clearly $A^* \leq \text{cl}(A^*)$. Conversely, let $G \in \tau$ and $\text{cl}(A^*) \leq G^c$.

Then $G \cap A^* \in 0^{\sim}$.

So there is a point $y \in X$ such that either $\mu_G(y) > \gamma_{A^*}(y)$ or $\mu_{A^*}(y) > \gamma_G(y)$.

Thus $A^*(y) \leq G^c(x)$ for some $y \in X$.

3. $(A^*)^* \leq A^*$.

4. $A \leq B \Rightarrow A^X \leq B^*$. It follows from the fact $G \cap A^* \in 0^{\sim}$ if $A \leq B$.

5. For all $A, B \in \mathfrak{F}^X$. $(A \vee B)^* = A^* \vee B^*$.

Assume that for some $x \in X$, $(A^* \vee B^*)(x) < (A \vee B)^*(x)$.

Then $A^*(x) < (A \vee B)^*(x)$ and $B^*(x) < (A \vee B)^*(x)$.

We can find $G_1, G_2 \in \tau$ such that $(A \vee B)^*(x) \sqcap G_1^c(x)$ and $(A \vee B)^*(x) \sqcap G_2^c(x)$ with $G_1 \wedge A \in 0^{\sim}$ and $G_2 \wedge B \in 0^{\sim}$.

But $G_i \wedge (A \vee B) \notin 0^{\sim}$ for $i=1,2$.

As $(A \vee B)^*(x) \sqcap G_1^c(x) \vee G_2^c(x) = (G_1 \wedge G_2)^c(x)$, we have $(G_1 \wedge G_2) \wedge (A \vee B) \notin 0^{\sim}$.

But $(G_1 \wedge G_2) \wedge (A \vee B) = ((G_1 \wedge G_2) \wedge (A)) \vee ((G_1 \wedge G_2) \wedge (B)) \leq (G_1 \wedge A) \vee (G_2 \wedge B) \in 0^{\sim}$ which is a contraction.

Thus, $(A \vee B)^* = A^* \vee B^*$, for all $A, B \in \mathfrak{F}^X$.

6. If $(a,b) \in \Delta$, then $C_{a,b}^* \leq C_{a,b}$.

As $A^* = \text{cl}(A^*) \leq \text{cl}(A)$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{F}^X$, it follows that $A^* \leq A$ for all intuitionistic fuzzy closed sets.

As $C_{a,b}$ is closed, for all $(a,b) \in \Delta$, we get $C_{a,b}^* \leq C_{a,b}$.

Definition 10: A map $f : \mathfrak{F}^X \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}^X$ is called intuitionistic fuzzy closure operator on a set X , if it satisfies the following axioms:

1. $f(C_{a,b}) = C_{a,b}$ for all $(a,b) \in \Delta$.

2. $A \leq f(A)$, for all $A \in \mathfrak{F}^X$.

3. $f(f(A)) = f(A)$, for all $A \in \mathfrak{F}^X$.
4. $f(A \vee B) = f(A) \vee f(B)$ for $A, B \in \mathfrak{F}^X$.

Intuitionistic fuzzy ideal

Definition 11: A family $\mathfrak{F} \subset \mathfrak{F}^X$ of IFTS, is called an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal (IFI) on X if

1. $0 \sim \in \mathfrak{F}$
2. $f \in \mathfrak{F}, g \leq f \Rightarrow g \in \mathfrak{F}$
3. $f, g \in \mathfrak{F}, \Rightarrow f \vee g \in \mathfrak{F}$

Example 1 : $0 \sim$ is an IFI on X and \mathfrak{F}^X itself is an IFI.

Example 2: Let $0 < a < 1$. Fix a point $x_0 \in X$. Then $\mathfrak{F} = \{f \in \mathfrak{F}^X / \mu_f(x_0) \leq a\}$ is an IFI on X .

Example 3: Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ the real line. Let m be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} . $f_m = \{f \in \mathfrak{F}^X / \text{Supp}(A) \text{ has Lebesgue measure zero}\}$ is a intuitionistic fuzzy ideal on \mathbb{R} .

Result 4: Let (X, τ) be a fuzzy topological space in the sense of Lowen. Let $f = \{f \in \mathfrak{F}^X / \text{Int}(\text{cl}(\mu_f)) = \bar{0} \text{ in } (X, \sigma)\}$.

Result 5: Let (X, σ) be a crisp topological space. Let $f = \{f \in \mathfrak{F}^X / \text{int}(\overline{\text{supp}(f)}) = \phi \text{ in } (X, \sigma)\}$. Then f is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal in X .

Intuitionistic fuzzy local function

Let (X, τ) be an IFTS and \mathfrak{F} be an IFI in X . For every $A \in \mathfrak{F}^X$, define $A^*(\mathfrak{F})$ as follows:
 $A^*(\mathfrak{F})(x) = \vee \{(a,b) \in \Delta / G \in \tau \text{ and } (a,b) \leq G^c(x) \Rightarrow A \wedge^\circ G \notin \mathfrak{F}\}$.
 Then $A^*(\mathfrak{F})$ is called local function associated with A and \mathfrak{F} .

Remark 3 : If $f = 0 \sim$, then $A^*(f) = A^*$.

Remark 4 : If $A \in f$ then $A^*(f)(x) = \vee \{(a,b) \in \Delta / G \in \tau \text{ and } (a,b) \leq G^c(x) \Rightarrow A \wedge^\circ G \notin f\} = 0$ (as $A \in f \Rightarrow A \wedge^\circ G \in f$)

Theorem 1: Let f be an intuitionistic fuzzy closure operator on a set X . Let $\mathfrak{F} = \{A \in \mathfrak{F}^X / f(A)\}$ and let $\tau = \{A^c / A \in \mathfrak{F}\}$. Then τ is an IFT on X such that $f(A) = \text{cl}_\tau(A)$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{F}^X$. This IFT τ is called the IFT induced by the Kuratowski closure operator f .

Theorem 2 : Let (X, τ) be an IFTS. Define the map $\phi : \mathfrak{F}^X \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}^X$ as $\phi(A) = A \vee A^*$, for all $A \in \mathfrak{F}^X$. Then the map ϕ is a kuratowski closure operator on \mathfrak{F}^X .

Proof: As for all $(a,b) \in \Delta, C_{a,b}^* \leq C_{a,b}$ we get $\phi(C_{a,b}) = C_{a,b}$
 By the definition of $\phi, A \leq \phi(A)$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{F}^X$.

For $A \in \mathfrak{F}^X$,
 $\phi\phi(A) = \phi(A \vee A^*) = (A \vee A^*) \vee (A \vee A^*)^*$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (A \vee A^*) \vee A^* \vee (A^*)^* \\
 &= A \vee A^* \vee A^* \vee A^* \text{ as } (A^*)^* = A^* \\
 &= A \vee A^* = \phi(A) \\
 \text{For } A, B \in \mathfrak{S}^X, \\
 \phi(A \vee B) &= (A \vee B) \vee (A \vee B)^* \\
 &= (A \vee B) \vee (A^* \vee B)^* \\
 &= (A \vee A^*) \vee (B \vee B^*) \\
 &= \phi(A) \vee \phi(B).
 \end{aligned}$$

4. REFERENCES:

- [1] K.T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **20**(1986), 87–96.
- [2] Chang, C.L. Fuzzy topological spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 24(1968), 182-190.
- [3] D. Coker, An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, **88**(1) (1997), 81–89.
- [4] Shostak, A.P, Two decades of fuzzy topology, Basic ideas, notions and results, *Russian Math. Surveys*, 44 (1989), 15-186.
- [5] G. J. Wang and Y.Y. He, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets and L- fuzzy sets, *Fuzzy sets syst.* 110(2000), 71-274.
- [6] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Information and Control*, **8** (1965), 338-353.
- [7] Zimmermann, H.J. *Fuzzy set theory and its applications*, Kluwer academic publishers, Netherlands.