Ecosystem Services Of Wetland

Mukunda Madhab Phukan

Department of Botany, Sikkim University, Tadong, Gangtok, Sikkim 737102, India

Abstract: Wetlands provide important and diverse benefits to people around the world, contributing various ecosystem services. The assessment of provisioning and cultural ecosystem services provided by Satajan wetland of North Lakhimpur, Assam revealed that wetlands provide livelihood benefits to the local people especially the Mishing tribe as well as it provides various other services to the human being like irrigation water, enhancement of soil fertility, habitat provision to various aquatic animals and plants for which further study is suggested. For the valuation of the provisioning services in the wetland different pricing methods were used such as Market Cost Valuation method, Alternative Valuation method, etc. The evaluated value of the provisioning services of Satajan wetland and Bird sanctuary of Assam was recorded and evaluated which indicates that this wetland contributes several benefits to the villagers nearby and also to the people as a whole. Though, Provisioning Ecosystem services give more livelihood support than that of other Ecosystem services.

Keywords: Ecosystem service, wetland, bird sanctuary

1. INTRODUCTION

An Ecosystem is a natural community that is made up of all kinds of living organisms and non-living components, which include a network of interactions between organisms and their environment. However, they are linked up with human society and human welfare. Human civilizations have been aware of the benefits of the goods and services provided from the environment or nature, mainly food, fuel and fiber. Although, in the recent times, the value of services like climate control, water filtration, soil fertility, production of edible items as well as recreational and cultural services has become more apparent. Ecosystem Services are the various benefits or services that human being gain from the natural environment or the natural ecosystems like forest ecosystem, grassland ecosystem or aquatic ecosystem. Collectively, these services are known as "Ecosystem Services". Ecosystem services are the direct and indirect benefits that human society gets from the environment or an ecosystem (Millennium Ecosystem

Assessment framework, MA 2000). Ecosystem services have four distinct categories:

Provisioning services

Provisioning services are ecosystem services that describe the material or energy outputs from ecosystem. Provisioning ecosystem services include products obtained from ecosystems, such as food, water, timber, fibers, or genetic resources.

Regulating services



Regulating services are the services that ecosystem provides by acting as regulators. It includes air quality regulation, climate regulation, water purification, disease regulation, pest regulation, pollination and natural hazard regulation, where some of these services e.g. pest regulation, seed dispersal, disease regulation and erosion regulation, have been artificially supplied and counted as costs of production. Other services, such as climate control, have been outside the market but are now being priced and integrated into markets; the most notable is carbon sequestration.

Supporting services

Supporting ecosystem services are the services which includes the basic ecosystem processes of nutrient cycling and primary productivity that may, in turn, lead to the other services, of which most have traditionally been unvalued, although their importance has been acknowledged through government investment in soil and biodiversity conservation. Others, such as water for environmental flows, are the subject of emerging markets.

Cultural services

Cultural ecosystem services include benefits that people obtain from ecosystems related to spiritual enrichment, recreation, ecotourism, aesthetics, formal and informal education, inspiration, and cultural Heritage, this services include knowledge of country and place, which is important to Indigenous people. Another example is nature based tourism that has significant economic value. However, many cultural services have not been explicitly priced or included in markets.

Wetlands are among the most valuable ecosystem on the globe. It provides the most valuable benefits to human mankind. These are the area or lands that are either permanently or temporarily covered with water. Wetlands exhibit the diverse form of life starting from molecular level to big animals according to their geographical location, climatic condition, and soil /water quality. Although the value of wetlands for fish and wildlife protection has been known for a century, some of the other benefits have been identified more recently. Wetlands are sometime described as kidneys of the landscape because they function as the downstream receivers of water and waste from both natural and human sources. They stabilize water supplies, thus mitigating both floods and drought. They have been found to cleanse polluted waters, protect shorelines, and research ground water aquifers.

Wetland also has been called nature's super market because of the extensive food chain and rich biodiversity that they support. They play major roles in the landscape by providing unique habitats for a wide variety of flora and fauna. Now that we have become concern about the health of our entire planet, wetlands are being described by some as important carbon sinks and climate stabilizers on a global scale. India has a wealth of wetland habitats of immense ecological importance and exhibit enormous diversity based on origin, geography, hydrological regime and substrate types. However, wetlands provide us the benefits that help us in balancing and maintaining the natural habitat which is interrelated to the human society. Wetland Ecosystems are part of our natural wealth. The amount of benefit provided by wetland ecosystem (globally) is very high. Some of the benefits people obtainedfrom ecosystem, provided by wetlands are:- Flood control, Groundwater replenishment, shoreline stabilization and storm protection, sediment and nutrient retention and export, water purification, reservoirs of biodiversity, wetland products like fish and NTFP, cultural value, recreational and tourism, climate change mitigation and adaptation, etc.



2. STUDY AREA

Satajan Wetland and Bird Sanctuary

The present study is carried out in Satajan Wetland and Bird Sanctuary, North Lakhimpur, Assam. The geographical location of the Satajan wetland is 27°12'36''N and 94°2'56''E at an altitude of 101m above mean sea level. The

satajan is located in the floodplain of Ranganadi river regulated by 405 MW Ranganadi Hydroelectric Project which was created by devastating earthquake of 1950. The area received an average annual rainfall of 2949 mm, which

occurred during the monsoon. Climate of the area is monsoonal tropical with summer temperature of 35-38°C and 6-8°C during winter. The case we were going to study is all about the Satajan Wetland (Beel), Lakhimpur district, Assam.

Lakhimpur is an Administrative district of Assam that lies on the North bank of the river Brahmaputra. The district ismulti-cultured and multi ethnic in nature where majority are Assamese peoples. Other than Assamese peoples, Mishing tribe, Bodo tribe, Deori, Tiwa live together with a unity showing a big cultural and traditional bonding. Satajan is a unique habitat of aquatic flora and fauna, which lies in the Eastern part of the district. The area of wetland is about total 95 acre (as consulted from Wetland sector office, Forest branch, Lakhimpur, Assam). It has been

investigated that, besides three species of endangered turtles, as many as 34 species of residential and 13 species oflong distance/migratory birds and more than 25 species of fish fauna in satajan is recorded (Green Heritage, Assam

2007-08) Satajan wetland provides the services that are very beneficial for human mankind and their livelihood (food, fuel, fiber, fodder, fertile soil, recreation and other cultural aspects). The Satajan Wetland and bird sanctuary is

surrounded by three small villages of Mishing tribe. The Mishing peoples have the spiritual believe about the Satajanwetland and River Ranganadi, where every year, during rainy season they celebrate "Kanipan" (a traditional festivalof Mishing tribe) for the good health of river Ranganadi and Satajan wetland. The Mishing peoples near satajanwetland gain direct benefits from the wetland area. They directly depend on satajan for their survival and their livelihood. They collect their day to day needs like firewood, wild edible plants, medicinal plants, fishes, fodder, etcfor their livelihood purposes. Satajan wetland and bird sanctuary plays an important role in providing beneficial services to the local peoples and other visitors. But day by day the services that Satajan wetland provide to the local

peoples was decreasing due to human made disasters and also due to some environmental factors like climate change, etc.

3. METHODS AND MATERIALS

Data Collection

Data is collected through surveys. Three types of surveys were conducted for the present study.

(i) Key information interview, (ii) Focus group discussion and (iii) Household surveys.



In all the three categories, we involve direct analyzed and qualitative questionnaires and other techniques by using the local language (Assamese and Mishing). First, we have focused on

Key information interview where we visited the local communities andDivisional Forest Officer (DFO), Forest and Wetland sector, Lakhimpur, Assam and Forest Ranger Officer, Lakhimpur, Assam, who have specialized knowledge on the use of the resources and their protection in Satajanwetland. Focus group discussion involves a group of 20 to 30 peoples from the village community. Majority were women. Here, we have discussed about various resource harvesting activities like fishing and hunting. It also includes information regarding seasons, market and prices and about other economic values in order to assist with the survey design. Household surveys were used to collect quantitative data on natural resource use and other householdactivities. Here, we have discussed about various issues like household composition, location and employment status, obtain details on each of the resources harvested, the equipment used, the amount gained annually, the quantity soldas raw product and the selling price per unit, the number of products produced from natural products and the amountsold and selling price of those products.3.2 Valuation Methods

Provisioning Services

For the valuation of the provisioning services in the wetland different pricing methods were used which are asfollows:

Market Cost Valuation method (Ninan et al. 2015)

Most of the provisioning ecosystem services were evaluated by **Market Cost Valuation method**. This method is commonly applied to the measurement of both direct and indirect uses values of natural system, and can similarly be applied to the valuation of wetland areas. Market valuation uses standard economic methods to value goods or services that are bought and sold in market place. To estimate the provisioning services of the wetland, twenty five (25)near Satajan were visited and average value of the wild edible plant, fodder, firewood, fishes was calculated. From the average value the cost per year was calculated by Market Cost Valuation method.

Alternate Valuation Method (Ninan et al. 2015)

Here the Provisioning services were evaluated by opportunity cost of time spent for collecting the medicinal plants. Here, we use the **Alternate Valuation Method** to estimate the provisioning services of medicinal plants of the wetland, twenty five (25) households were visited and an average value of medicinal plants extracted from the wetland was calculated. From the average value the total cost per year was calculated.

4. RESULTS

Provisioning services

Table 1: Wild	Vegetables / W	ild edible plan	ts by using Mark	et Valuation	Method

Sl no	Local	Scientific	Households	Per	Per	Value	per
	name	name	surveyed	household	boundle	year(in	
	(assamese)		-	extracted/day	market	rupees)	
				(approx)	cost	_	



1	Kosu	Alocasia cuminata	25	2	12	8760
2	Tora	Alpinia alughus	25	3	15	16425
3	Khutora	Amaranthus spinosus	25	2	05	3650
4	Bonposola	Meliosma pinnata	25	3	05	5475
5	Mejenga	Zanthoxylam oxyphyllum	25	2	10	7300
6	Dhekia	Diplazium esculentum	25	4	20	29200
7	Dimoru	Ficus glomerata	25	2	18	13140
	83,950					

Table 2: Estimating value of Fishes by using Market Valuation Method

Sl n o	Local Name(assamese)	Scientific Name	Household s surveyed	Per household collection/mont h	Marke t cost/kg	Value per year(in rupees)
1	Kuhi	Lebeo gonius	25	4	350	16800
2	Bhangon	Lebeo boga	25	3	250	9000
3	Rou	Lebeo rohita	25	4	300	14400



4	Puthi	Puntinus sophore	25	3	100	3600
5	Goroi	Channa punctatus	25	4	150	7200
6	chengeli	Channa gachua	25	1	100	1200
7	Tora	Mastacembelu s puncalus	25	2	200	4800
8	Kawoi	Anabus testudineous	25	3	200	7200
9	Kuchia (Asian swamp eel)	Monopterus albus	25	5	400	24000
Total						

Table 3: Estimating value of Fodder (Grass) by using Market Valuation Method

Total bundles of grass used/ day/ household	Total bundles of grass used/month/household	Total household	Market cost/bundle	Total value per year(in rupees)
3	30(approx)	25	30	10,800

Table 4: Fresh water by using Alternate Valuation Method

Total liters of fresh water used/ day/ household from the wetland	Total liters of fresh water/month/house hold from the wetland	Total household	Municipality cost /bill/month	Value in Rupees (per year)
80	2400	25	200	60,000(approx)



SI. No.	Services	Method of valuation	Value in INR	References
1	Wild edible plant		83,950	Salfstudy
2	Fodder	Market cost valuation method	10,800	- Sell Study
3	Fishes		88,200	
4	Fresh water	Alternate cost valuation Method	60,000	

T 11	~	m 1	T 7 1	c	•	•	•	•
Table	5.	Total	Value	ot.	nrov19	\$16	mno	services
I dole	\mathcal{I}	rotui	varue	O1	provin	210	ming	501 11005

5. DISCUSSION

Wild Vegetables / Wild edible plants by using Market Valuation Method

Satajan village is inhabited by Mishing and Assamese people. Most of them are isolated wetland dwellers. They aredirectly or indirectly dependent on the wetland for various services that the wetland provides. We have surveyed 25 households. The villagers collect wild edible plants for self consumption and also for sale to earn money. After the evaluation, we came to know that the villagers of satajan benefit from the collection of wild edible plants from thewetland and the net gain is approximately is 83,950 (approx) per year (Table-1). From these result, we can directly say that the villagers were profited from the Satajan wetland by using those wild edible plants.

Estimating value of Fishes by using Market Valuation Method

Varity of fishes like Puntinus sophore, Channa punctatus etc were collected from the wetlands for self consumptionas well as for sale from the wetland which on average valuation gives an amount of Rs. 88,200approx.(Table-2). Fishing provides livelihood to the villagers as this is the only resources which are available

all throughout the year. Among the all Provisioning services of Satajan wetland, fishes have the high percentage of market value then other provisioning services.

Estimating value of Fodder (Grass) by using Market Valuation Method

The villagers collect fodder that the wetland to feed their cattle's. Since, fodder grass is a seasonal product it is mainlycollected during the rainy season. The valuation of the fodder grasses was done by using Market valuation Method



(Table-3). Average income generated from the fodder is evaluated by quantifying the amount of grasses extracted perhousehold in one year. The value obtained after multiplying is further multiplied with the total household of Satajan.

Fresh water by using Alternate Valuation Method

Dissolved oxygen (DO) and pH of water from the wetland indicates good water quality where different life formscan be supported. The local community depends on satajan wetland for fresh water for their various purposes likedrinking, bathing, and irrigation etc. After comparing the daily water usage and cost of municipality water supply inLakhimpur town area we conclude that the wetland provide an alternative source of water for the villagers whosevalue is approximately 60,000 approxper year(Table-4).

6. CONCLUSION

The assessment of provisioning ecosystem services provided by Satajan wetland of North Lakhimpur, Assamrevealed that wetlands provide livelihood support to the local people especially the Mishing tribe as well as itprovides various other services to the local community like irrigation water, enhancement of soil fertility, habitatprovision to various aquatic animals and plants for which further study is suggested. The evaluated value of theprovisioning services of Satajan wetland and Bird sanctuary of Assam was Rs 242,950 which indicatesthat this wetland provides several benefits to the villagers nearby and also to the people as a whole. Satajan wetlandalso provides aesthetic value due to the periodic migration of local and migratory birds. From the present study, wecan conclude that the local communities (tribes) residing near the Satajan wetland is directly or indirectly benefited bythe wetland.

7. REFERENCES

- Acreman MC, Harding RJ, Lloyd C, McNamara NP, Mountford JO, Mould DJ and Dury SJ. 2011. Trade-off inecosystem services of the Somerset Levels and Moors wetlands. Hydrol Sci J 56(8): 1543-1565.
- [2] Baldocchi D, Falge E, Gu L, Olson R, Hollinger D, Running S, and Fuentes J. 2001. FLUXNET: A new tool to study the temporal and spatial variability of ecosystem–scale carbon dioxide, water vapor, and energy flux
- [3] densities. Bull Amer Meteor Socie 82(11): 2415-2434.
- [4] Boyd J and Banzhaf S. 2007. What are ecosystem services? The need for standardized environmental accountingunits. Ecol Eco 63(2-3): 616-626.
- [5] Close A, Zammit C, Boshier J, Gainer K and Mednis A. 2009. Ecosystem Services: Key Concepts and Applications
- [6] Das AK and Hazarika M. 2015. Study of Diversity of Ethno botanical Plants Used By the Mishing Tribes of Golaghat District, Assam and Their Conservation. Ind J Res Sci 6: 4992-4998.
- [7] Das I. 2015. Degradation of wetland environment: a case study of Dora Beel of Kamrup District, Assam. Meander 861(15460.60): 15-28.
- [8] Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, M. E. A. 2005. Ecosystems and human well-being. Synthesis



- [9] Ninan KN and Kontoleon A. 2016. Valuing forest ecosystem services and disservices-Case study of protected area inIndia. Eco Serv 20: 1-14
- [10] Phukan P and Saikia R. 2014. Wetland degradation and its conservation: a case study of some selected wetlands ofGolaghat district, Assam, India. Res J Rec Sci 3: 446-454
- [11] Turpie J, Lannas K, Scovronick, N and Louw A. 2010. Wetland Valuation Volume I Wetland ecosystem services and their valuation: a review of current understanding and practice. Water Res Comm Rep S Af Google Scholar